UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/571,836 | 03/15/2006 | Jialin Sun | 09548.1019USWO | 3476 |
| HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H | |
| MIINNEAPOLI | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1643 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/571,836 | SUN, JIALIN | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN | 1643 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju | ne 2008. | | | | | | |
| / <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| ·= | , _ | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,12-16,21 and 23-32</u> is/are pending | in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,12-16,21,23-26,31 and 32</u> is/are re | · | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | , | | | | | | |
| ··· <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | • • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | • | · · | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex- | ammer, Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/571,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner of the application has changed. This case has now been transferred as of 8/3/2008. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Christopher Yaen, Group Art Unit 1643.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/2/2008 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed 6/2/2008 is acknowledged and entered into the record. Accordingly, claims 3-11, 17-20, and 22 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 31-32 are newly added.
- 3. Claims 1-2,12-16,21,23-32 are pending, claims 27-30 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Claims 1-2,12-16,21, 23-26, and 31-32 are examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/571,836 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. The rejection of claims 1,2,11, and 12 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wahlsten *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the cited reference does not anticipate the claimed invention. Applicant's arguments are substantially similar to that previously argued. Applicant newly argues that the cited reference does not teach any other combination other than TM-TSST1. It is also contended taught that the methods used by Wahlsten is extremely specific and unsuitable for practice use, rendering application of the reference questionable. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record.

When the reference relied on expressly anticipates or makes obvious all of the elements of the claimed invention, the reference is presumed to be operable. Once such a reference is found, the burden is on applicant to provide facts rebutting the presumption of operability. *In re Sasse*, 629 F.2d 675, 207 USPQ 107 (CCPA 1980). A prior art reference provides an enabling disclosure and thus anticipates a claimed invention if the reference describes the claimed invention in sufficient detail to enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to carry out the claimed invention; "proof of efficacy is not required for a prior art reference to be enabling for purposes of anticipation." *Impax Labs. Inc. v. Aventis Pharm . Inc.*, 468 F.3d 1366, 1383, 81 USPQ2d 1001, 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2006). In the instant case, applicants have not provided any indication that the prior art fusion protein is not enabling, but rather asserts without any objective evidence that the use of the prior art fusion protein is questionable. Arguments of

Art Unit: 1643

counsel cannot take the place of factually supported objective evidence. See, e.g., In re Huang, 100 F.3d 135, 139-40, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1689 (Fed. Cir. 1996); In re De Blauwe, 736 F.2d 699, 705, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Therefore the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Wahlsten *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 103

7. The rejection of claims 1-2,12-16,21, 23-26, and now newly added claims 31-32 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious over Wahlsten *et al* in view of Chandler *et al* is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments are substantially similar to those argued above under 35 USC § 102(b). Applicant further argues that there is no reasonable suggestion that the references would be combined as argued by the examiner. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record.

A prima facie case of obviousness based on structural similarity is rebuttable by proof that the claimed compounds possess unexpectedly advantageous or superior properties. *In re Papesch*, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963). If a prima facie case of obviousness is established, the burden shifts to the applicant to come forward with arguments and/or evidence to rebut the prima facie case. See, e.g., *In re Dillon*, 919 F.2d 688, 692, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1901 (Fed. Cir. 1990) In the instant case, applicant has not provided any objective evidence to overcome the prima facie rejection of record. As indicated in the previous office action those of ordinary skill in the art

Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

would have found it obvious to substitute one member of the family for another as taught by Chandler. Exemplary rationales that may support a conclusion of obviousness include among others simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results. See *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. ____, ___, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1395-97 (2007).

Therefore, the rejection of claims under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious is maintained for the reasons of record.

Conclusion

- 8. NO claim is allowed.
- 9. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1643

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN whose telephone number is (571)272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher H Yaen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643